



THE STATE OF UTAH  
OFFICE OF STATE ENGINEER  
SALT LAKE CITY

JOSEPH M. TRACY  
STATE ENGINEER

May 29, 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR OFFICE STUDY ONLY

BY: Hubert C. Lambert

RE: Burnt Fork Distribution

*tentative for 1966 Distribution is*  
The following tabulation based upon the latest acreage figures determined by survey in the Burnt Fork area with a flow calculated at 1.0 second feet for 50 acres for Utah diverted rights with tentative priorities set up by agreement, but not binding as to the final adjudication are as follows: *Priority for Wyo. Diversion taken from Wyo. Adj. Book*

Wyoming rights prior to 1885 (Wyo. Divor.)	4.70 sec. ft.
1884 Hanson Ditch (Utah Divor.)	0.29 sec. ft.
1885 Gamble Ditch (Utah Divor.)	12.38 sec. ft.
Rights diverted in Wyoming	
between 1888 and 1892	7.05 sec. ft.
1892 Highline Ditch (Utah Divor.)	1.45 sec. ft.
1895 Wyman Ditch (Utah Divor.)	4.14 sec. ft.
1899 Baldy Springs Ditch (Utah Divor.)	14.0 sec. ft.
Rights diverted in Wyoming	
between 1899 and 1901	4.13 sec. ft.
1901 Ike Ditch (Utah Divor.)	12.70 sec. ft.
1901 Muskrat Springs (Utah Divor.)	1.73 sec. ft.
Rights diverted in Wyoming	
between 1901 and 1908	6.89 sec. ft.
1908 Highline Ditch (Utah Divor.)	2.31 sec. ft.
Rights diverted in Wyoming	
between 1908 and 1912	7.23 sec. ft.
1912 Ike Ditch (Utah Divor.)	4.50 sec. ft.
Rights diverted in Wyoming	
between 1912 and 1916	5.99 sec. ft.
1916 Gillis Ditch (Utah Divor.)	0.91 sec. ft.
Rights diverted in Wyoming	
between 1916 and 1921	1.41 sec. ft.
1921 Gamble Ditch (Utah Divor.)	2.82 sec. ft.
1926 Interstate Reservoir	
and Canal Company (Utah Divor.)	32.37 sec. ft.

The Ike Spring flow which should be measured should be deducted from the Ike Canal rights (1901); the Indian Springs flow which should be measured should be deducted from the Highline Canal

Page 2  
Memo  
May 29, 1956

right; and Baldy Springs which should be measured should be deducted from the Baldy Springs Ditch. Muskrat Spring should be measured to determine overflow to Burnt Fork if any. Any other springs in area are small and should not be considered in the distribution for summer of 1956.

H. C. L.